



TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN

19- A, Rukmini Lakshmi Pathy Salai, (Marshal Road), Egmore, Chennai – 600 008.

Phone : ++91-044-2841 1376 / 2841 1378/ 2841 1379 Fax : ++91-044-2841 1377

Email : tnerc@nic.in Web site : www.tneo.gov.in

BEFORE THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN, CHENNAI

Present : Thiru. A. Dharmaraj, Electricity Ombudsman

A.P.No.17 of 2012

M/s.Magod Laser Machining Private Ltd.,
Represented by its Directors & Authorised Signatory,
Plot No.L-8/3, Phase 2,
Sipcot Industrial Park,
Sriperumbadur Village,
Araneri Kancheepuram,
Kancheepuram-602 105.

... Appellant
(Rep.by Thiru S.Muthu
Venkataraman, Advocate
and Thiru R.V.S.Mani)

Vs.

- 1) The Chairman & Managing Director,
TANGEDCO,
NPKRR Malaigai,
144, Anna Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
- 2) The Superintending Engineer,
Chengalpattu Electricity Dist.Circle,
TANGEDCO (Formerly TNEB),
130, GST Road,
Chengalpattu District.
- 3) The Chairman(Superintending Engineer),
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Chengalpattu EDC,
TANGEDCO (Formerly TNEB),
130, GST Road,
Chengalpattu District.

..... Respondents
(Rep.by Thiru. Chidambaram/
DFC incharge)

Dates of hearing : 24-8-2012 & 28-9-2012

Date of Order : 04.10.2012

1) M/s.Magod Laser Machining Pvt Ltd., have filed an appeal petition on 6-4-2012. In the appeal petition, the Appellant has prayed to set aside the order dated 21-2-2012 of the CGRF of Chengalpattu EDC and order refund of Rs.6,14,212/- paid towards excess demand and excess energy charges for evening peak hour violation for the period from 1-12-2008 to 31-8-2009.

2) A copy of the Appeal petition was served to the Respondent (viz) the SE/Chengalpattu EDC and the Respondent has filed the counter on 22-8-2012.

3) The hearing proposed on 28-6-2012 was adjourned to 19-7-2012 as prayed by the Respondent vide his letter dated 26-6-2012. The hearing proposed on 19-7-2012 was also adjourned to 24-8-2012 as prayed by the Respondent's representative on the date of hearing. On 24-8-2012, the Appellant and the Respondent putforth their arguments. As some more details are required from the Appellant and Respondent, further argument was proposed on 28-9-2012.

4) On 28-9-2012, the Respondent has furnished a revised working sheet for the excess demand and excess energy charges for evening peak hour violation. As per the above the revised excess charges worked out to Rs.2,20,922/- and the respondent informed that the excess amount collected will be adjusted in the CC bill.

5) The Appellant, on perusal of the revised calculation agreed for the above revised excess demand and excess energy charges levied for evening

peak hour violation and informed that he is withdrawing the Appeal petition vide his letter dated 29.9.2012.

6) As the Appellant has withdrawn the petition, the Appeal petition No.17 of 2012 is dismissed as withdrawn.

(A. Dharmaraj)
Electricity Ombudsman

To

1. M/s.Magod Laser Machining Private Ltd.,
Plot No.L-8/3, Phase 2,
Sipcot Industrial Park,
Sriperumbadur Village,
Araneri Kancheepuram,
Kancheepuram-602 105.
2. The Chairman & Managing Director,
TANGEDCO,
NPKRR Malaigai,
144, Anna Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
3. The Superintending Engineer,
Chengalpattu Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGEDCO (Formerly TNEB),
130, GST Road,
Chengalpattu District.
4. The Chairman(Superintending Engineer),
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Chengalpattu EDC,
TANGEDCO (Formerly TNEB),
130, GST Road,
Chengalpattu District.
5. The Chairman & Managing Director,
TANGEDCO,
NPKRR Malaigai,
144, Anna Salai, Chennai – 600 002.

6. The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission
No.19A, Rukmini Lakshmipathy Salai,
Egmore,
Chennai – 600 008.

7. The Assistant Director (Computer) - **FOR HOSTING IN THE WEBSITE.**
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission
No.19A, Rukmini Lakshmipathy Salai
Egmore,
Chennai – 600 008.